1	Action Plan for the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission
2 3 4	I. <u>Introduction</u>
5	The public, the Legislature and the Governor had high hopes for the NMPRC at the time it was
6	created by Constitutional Amendment in 1996. It was hoped that by combining the appointed
7	Public Utility Commission with its professional regulatory authority with the elected State
8	Corporation Commission that the new Public Regulation Commission would create budget
9	savings, foster an improved regulatory process and allow better access by the public to their
10	elected officials. Unfortunately, none of these goals have been realized. Instead, the PRC has
11	grown in size, has become crippled by the political corruption that characterized the former SCC,
12	has lost the professional credentials that were the basis of the success of the Public Utility
13	Commission and has disregarded any notion of consumer protection or assistance.
14	
15	Today, most Legislators, the Governor, the regulated entities and consumers realize New Mexico
16	must have change in this critically important regulatory agency. The question has been what and
17	how. Legislation is difficult to achieve due to the competing interests of the regulated industries
18	and the customers they serve. Since the PRC is a constitutional body, any amendment would
19	require the approval of the voters in an election. Both these factors serve to complicate and
20	delay needed change.
21	
22	The first task undertaken by the first flight of Commissioners was the formulation and adoption
23	of rules for the agency. At that time, the PRC was challenged by important issues including the
24	deregulation of the electric industry and the efforts of US West and later Qwest to reenter the
25	long distance telephone market. Cases had been backlogged as the PUC and the SCC
26	approached the end of their existence. There was simply little time and funds available to
27	formulate new rules. As a result, the Commission blended and then adopted the rules used by
28	each of the predecessor agencies.
29	
30	Today, it is apparent that it is not just the rules that need to be revised but the structure of the
31	agency itself. After twelve years of operation and four election cycles, the Commission has lost
32	its regulatory focus and has little understanding of its authority and the role it plays as a member

of a governmental team. It fails to act within its jurisdiction and authority and acts without it as well. Changing the faces of Commissioners has had little impact on improving the agency. Today, New Mexico requires a plan of action for the agency that, if adopted can change the nature of regulation and serve to accomplish the hopes of the Legislature, the Governor, the public and the regulated industries for productive and constructive regulation.

The purpose of this paper is to set forth such a plan. This plan utilizes the organization set forth in the Constitutional Amendment. Its focus is on cutting waste and ending corruption. It limits the PRC to its actual regulatory authority and requires the involvement of other State agencies that hold complementary authority in framing policy. It requires bolstering Commission staff and reducing the Office of General Counsel. It demands that Commissioners participate in hearings and in the resolution of consumer complaints. It depends on available technologies to simplify the work of regulation and thus the cost of regulation. And by doing so creates new opportunities for the consumer and the regulated industries to keep abreast of issues and to participate in the process.

New Mexico faces a challenging economic climate. The NMPRC, through the regulation of energy and intrastate transportation has real power to either stimulate the economy or depress it. The Commission must not only adopt a plan for changing the agency, but must also define and adopt goals for its regulatory work. By doing so, New Mexico can benefit greatly and service on this regulatory Commission can be rewarding and productive.

II. Background

Broadly, the NMPRC regulates public utilities engaged in providing electric, gas, water, sewer and landline telephone services. It sets the rates and terms of service for intrastate transportation providers. It also provides limited regulation of insurance, specifically title insurance and managed health care through the Superintendent of Insurance. The Commission has limited influence in this area since by statute it vests the Superintendent with its full authority. The remaining Divisions within the control of the agency require little Commission involvement.

The real power and function of the Commission is in the area of utility and transportation 63 regulation. 64 65 The agency employs approximately 225 workers with an approximate annual budget of \$29 66 million. The agency collects far more than its budget from licensing fees, premium taxes, filing 67 68 fees, managed health care fund and other taxation measures as set by the Legislature. The 69 NMPRC is now housed in the former PERA Building with all of its Divisions under one roof. 70 The only qualification for election to the NMPRC is that one be a resident of the district and be 71 72 at least 18 years of age. Despite the complex nature of the work, Commissioners typically possess no background in rate making or regulatory work. They are paid whether they show up 73 74 or not. Due to these facts, they have abdicated much of their regulatory responsibility to the Hearing Examiners. These are the individuals that hold hearings, manage cases and finally make 75 recommendations to the Commissioners regarding disposition of cases through final Commission 76 action. These individuals are not subject to performance evaluation by the Commission but 77 78 perform their own evaluations. The vast majority of Commission decisions amount to no more 79 than an endorsement of the Hearing Examiners findings. 80 The Commission is represented by and through the Office of General Counsel. This group is 81 82 charged with guiding the Commission through legal issues and recommended decisions prior to a final order. The General Counsel also represents the Commission and the agency in matters 83 84 before other Courts. Most decisions by the Commission can be appealed only to the NM Supreme Court, with appeals only upon final orders of the Commission. 85 86 87 The PRC Utility Division and Transportation Staff are charged with representing the public interest in cases brought before the Commission and with monitoring compliance with standards 88 set by the Commission. Public interest is defined as a balance between the interests of customers 89 or rate payers and the interests of shareholders. The Staff includes attorneys, economists and 90 91 engineers. These individuals typically are not licensed professionals. The Hearing Examiners also are charged with finding the public interest in their recommended decisions. Ultimately, the 92 93 Commission defines the public interest through their actions.

94	
95	The PRC is not a court of law. It acts as a quasi-judicial body much like a Planning and Zoning
96	Commission. Its proceedings are governed by rules that are pattered after the New Mexico
97	Administrative Code but which also deviate from the NMAC. Most regulated companies retain
98	licensed attorneys who specialize in regulatory or transportation law. Rate cases and other
99	proceedings tend to be lengthy and involved and thus expensive. A major rate case involving an
100	electric utility can cost up to \$1 million. It is not uncommon for regulated companies to spend
101	\$50k per year on regulatory matters.
102	
103	It is also not uncommon to find only a simple majority of Commissioners in attendance at Open
104	Meetings. Often, only two attend with the balance of the quorum participating telephonically.
105	There is no policy regarding such practices or to verify the identification of those so
106	participating.
107	
108	Commissioners are now paid a \$90,000.00 annual salary. They may hire assistants as they
109	choose. Currently, the highest paid Commission assistant is paid \$72,000.00 per year.
110	
111	III. <u>The Current Situation</u>
112	
113	A. The Agency
114	
115	The Commission operates with no institutional memory. Unlike the courts, once the
116	Commission reaches a decision it is forgotten. There is no plan for any element of regulation.
117	That means that there is no electric energy policy, for example that would serve as a framework
118	for Commission decisions. There is no consistency. The process is confusing and operates
119	under its own special rules of evidence and procedure. It is expensive and time consuming for
120	all involved. The members of the Commission have little to no relevant experience or education
121	to rely upon. Current law requires that candidates be of 18 years of age and live in their district.
122	That is it for job requirements.
123	

There is little to no participation by customers. Industrial firms may hire an attorney to represent 124 them in proceedings, but rarely does the press, the AARP or anyone else show up for meetings. 125 126 Even when industrial users get involved the process is unwieldy, non-productive, time 127 consuming and expensive. The system is plagued by rampant waste and a lack of direction. 128 There is little to no means of appeal until the Commission has reached a final decision. There is 129 130 no right to bump a Hearing Examiner as a party could bump a Judge in a civil proceeding. There is no appeal from the decisions of a Hearing Examiner. If you get a bad decision, you are stuck 131 with it. If you complain it may only get worse. 132 133 Though the goal of the Commission is to find the public interest in its decisions, that obligation 134 has been delegated to the Hearing Examiners. Staff is charged by law with promoting the same 135 public interest. Since there is duplication of this obligation, staff is allowed and has a distinct 136 tendency to act more as prosecutors in litigated cases, thus creating an imbalance in the process. 137 Too often, regulated industries are placed in the position of defending themselves instead of 138 139 proving their case, thus increasing cost and compromising their relationship with their customers 140 and the agency. 141 142 Even if Commissioners had education or experience to rely upon, they have little means of getting involved in their docket. They cannot talk with parties involved in a case due to ex parte 143 rules and have no attorney to advise and assist them. They have volumes of information to read 144 145 and understand and no set goals to guide their considerations or decisions. No wonder Commissioners hold such loose attendance standards and are willing to delegate their authority 146 147 so readily. 148 Consumer complaints are referred to the Consumer Relations Division for resolution. The 149 delegation of Commission authority to this Division is simply non-productive. Commissioners 150 151 have no responsibility to respond to consumers since under the current organization that is not 152 their job. 153

154	The PRC has become a wholly political body. Top administrative jobs tend to be handed out to
155	repay political service. In a recent ethics survey, almost one half of the PRC employees stated
156	that they had seen unethical conduct take place. Almost one quarter stated that they had been
157	asked or directed to engage in unethical conduct. Many employees fail to report unethical
158	conduct since they fear retaliation, especially if the accused is a political favorite of the
159	Commission.
160	
161	Regarding the PRC budget, the agency has lost the understanding of the value of tax dollars.
162	The agency goal is not to gain efficiency or streamline in order to cut the budget but to maintain
163	the budget in order to preserve jobs within the agency. The agency works to oppose any effort to
164	investigate it, through denial of requests for inspection of public records and through Legislative
165	lobbying to thwart scrutiny by that body.
166	
167	There is no coordination with executive departments that hold complementary regulatory
168	authority such as the Office of the State Engineer, the New Mexico Environment Department or
169	Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources. The Office of Attorney General holds the obligation to
170	represent residential and small commercial customers but rarely participates due to claimed
171	budget constraints.
172	
173	The PRC does not provide adequate notice to companies or customers regarding the timing of
174	Commission action on cases. The policy requires only that the agenda for meetings be posted
175	outside the Commission chambers within 24 hours of the meeting. This requires that companies
176	with cases pending before the Commission check in daily to see if their case is on the agenda.
177	Customers outside of Santa Fe have no opportunity to attend meetings in which, for example,
178	their electric rates are to be determined, since they have to be in Santa Fe daily to check the
179	bulletin board.
180	
181	
182	B. Electricity
183	

Energy is the basis for our economy. It is an expensive and a rising cost yet an unavoidable need. The concept of what electricity is and how it operates is very complicated. It is also a key 185 186 need in our everyday lives. Electric rate regulation is not a simple concept. Consumers want 187 lower rates. Utilities want rates that are adequate to cover their investment and their costs and are entitled by law to a reasonable rate of return. The PRC regulates only distribution and retail 188 rates. Electric transmission and wholesale rates are not within PRC jurisdiction or authority. 189 190 Electric energy rates are bound to rise due to increasing demand and governmental pressures 191 related to green house gas emissions, proposed cap and trade regulation and the impact of 192 193 renewable energy portfolio standards. These rising costs will obviously impact residential customers by driving up the household expenses. They will also affect industrial customers as 194 well and thus will negatively impact their competitiveness and will serve to dampen job growth. 195 196 New Mexico's electric utilities currently suffer from the second highest cost of capital in the 197 nation. That means that our electric utilities have to pay much higher costs for loans and credits 198 199 required to construct new generating plants and lines. Industrial users demand high reliability. 200 That costs money. These higher capital costs create a corresponding pressure on rates, making it 201 that much more difficult to operate. 202 203 The PRC does not operate with an electric energy policy to guide its deliberations, decisions and 204 actions. Where the Commission may allow one electric utility the ability to pass on costs of fuel 205 and additional power it may need to purchase to fulfill demand, it may deny another the same opportunity. One of the greatest single causes of high capital cost and rates is the uncertainty 206 207 that the PRC has created in regulation and in its treatment of utility issues. 208 209 It must be remembered that 80% of our state is served by Rural Electric Cooperatives. These Cooperatives are self governed. Their representatives or Trustees are elected by the members. 210 211 The Commission should reexamine the regulatory requirements imposed upon the Coops to 212 determine whether the scrutiny the Commission provides is productive.

184

We are also increasingly susceptible to interruptions in power supply due to the complex nature of the electric grid. The electric grid has been called the greatest technological achievement of this century, but the fact of the matter is that it is facing increased stress due to increased load as more major power generating plants are developed. Transmission systems are needed to handle the increased power that our economy demands. Whether the power source is renewable or conventional it cannot operate without a tie to the grid's transmission system. Yet transmission systems are more costly and complicated to build than generating plants.

One of the best ways to solve the power availability problem is to use less power. Systems are available today that allow electric users the ability to track their usage on a daily basis and find the cost of that use. National studies have shown that when electric customers have real time information on their electric usage and the attendant cost, they will cut their usage up to 25%. Such real time systems require smart meters. Most New Mexico electric customers do not have access to smart meters.

Incandescent bulbs while cheap are also big energy users. By the time power moves from the generating plant to the home less than 1% is converted into light. We have all noticed the LEDs lights at traffic intersections. Where an incandescent bulb may use 60watts to produce adequate lighting, a comparable LED uses only 8watts. That is just one example of heightened efficiency that is available today. The problem is that such opportunities are simply off the radar screen of the NMPRC.

Many believe that the future of our nations power supply lies in renewable energy sources. While the NMPRC did adopt a renewable portfolio standard back in 2002 that mandates that utilities will gain up to 10% of its retail power, there simply is not enough renewable power available to meet that threshold. We need to explore new and innovative means to achieve this goal. We also need to find ways to deliver lower cost and more reliable power to our industries without upsetting the rate balance between those high use customers and low use residential customers.

We must also plan a clear strategy that promotes energy conservation and efficiency. As much as 30% of the power currently consumed by our state is in essence non-productive due to a lack of efficiency and simple waste. The NMPRC needs to enlist the Legislature where needed to develop programs to better address these issues. Without a clear energy policy, the NMPRC is doomed to repeat the mistakes of the past and is simply unsuited to respond to the current economic climate or that of the future. C. Water Water is essential for sustenance and development. It is critical both to life and our economy. Ground water is an over-committed resource. In New Mexico, water resources are in high demand and that demand is rising due to simple factors of growth and development. Despite this fact, water, for rate making purposes has no value nor do the water rights or wells that support the utility pumping that supplies the water. Yet, water rights on the market have high and climbing value due to demand. While water supplies may be replenished by the actions of nature or bolstered through conservation those factors cannot be relied upon. Nature tends to be unpredictable and conservation is typically achieved only through price pressures. If the price goes up, then use likely will decline. Price increase is unpleasant and so is resisted by consumers. In New Mexico, water is subject to regulation by the NMPRC, the Office of the State Engineer and the New Mexico Environment Department. Yet, these offices rarely work in concert. As a result, the NMPRC routinely oversteps its authority and seeks to interject itself into water supply and water quality issues. Certainly, the cost of supply and maintenance of water quality are within the authority of the PRC, but the requirements for supply and quality are not within the PRC jurisdiction. New Mexico recently suffered from the melt down of the real estate market. That bubble bursting was due to several factors including over supply and over pricing as well as the impact

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

of the financial crisis. It is likely that another crisis looms on the horizon and that is water supply. Currently, the entire lower Rio Grande basin water supply is in the process of adjudication. It is apparent that the basin is over committed. Recent or junior water rights are those likely to be compromised by decision of the court. If it is determined that water utilities have such junior water rights then their ability to provide service to their customers will be impaired. Recent court rulings in other areas of the state have held that residential users may have no right to drill wells if that use or demand impairs senior rights in the area. If that ruling stands, then residential developments that rely upon private wells will be compromised. In that case, water utilities and municipal systems will be likely required to provide service where possible, if they hold adequate senior water rights. The EPA has tightened its standards for drinking water. While appropriate to protect the public health, this additional regulation will impact price. Currently, the NMPRC only views the ratemaking process as a backward looking endeavor. Utilities are only allowed to include items, infrastructure or costs that have already been incurred. Those costs must be judged to be prudent and reasonable after the fact. Utilities have no means to use rates to develop "savings accounts" for costs such as EPA compliance or new wells, for example. Many areas of the state have no sewer service and utilize septic tanks and leach fields for disposal of house hold waste water. While these systems are inexpensive, they have the potential to contribute to ground water contamination, especially in areas of concentration. Yet, establishing sewer plants is costly due to engineering and construction prices and difficult due to site concerns and the influence of NIMBY's. It is likely that existing public sewer utilities hold at least part of the answer in providing broader sewer service. The Commission has failed to consider any of these points in its regulation of water utilities. They have allowed water utilities to sell off "excess" water rights with no corresponding reduction in utility debt. They do not allow any price for water rights or the cost for corresponding wells in rates. They do not foster the expansion of sewer service and in fact may

275

276

277

278279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

impede that development through inappropriate policy. They do not cooperate or work jointly with the other state regulatory agencies to promote a statewide policy regarding water and water use.

IV. The Plan for Tomorrow

In order to solve a problem one must first define it and then embrace it. The sections above provide a thorough examination of the problems that New Mexico faces with the NMPRC and so provide a working definition of the problem. The balance of the examination must be found through those that know it best and live it each day; the staff and employees of the agency.

While some current Commissioners and staff members may dispute the notion that the agency has problems, facts fly in the face of denial. Most of the employees of the NMPRC are good, dedicated and hardworking public servants. It is imperative that interviews be conducted with the employees of the agency to identify areas of corruption, areas for improvement and areas for cost savings.

It is also imperative that the new Commission conduct interviews or hearings with the public utilities, transportation companies and other regulated entities to determine how to improve the agency, the process and the rules under which the Commission governs. Most regulated companies desire to provide safe, top quality and affordable service and can provide needed insight. The Commission needs to listen.

The Commission also needs to conduct hearings with the public to take comment regarding how the complaint resolution system could be improved. The Open Meetings policy must be revised to require the Commission to provide notice to parties regarding hearings and meetings. The policy must reflect the fact that the Commission works for the public not the other way around. The policy regarding access to public records must also be revised and the Commission must impose real penalties for any member of the agency that works to deprive the public and others free access to agency information and records.

It is also important to examine the division of the agency. Currently, the Superintendent of Insurance operates in a parallel organizational structure with the Commission. The Commission does not regulate insurance. That Commission authority is vested in the Superintendent. In an ideal situation, the Commission and the Superintendent should act as partners to achieve the Commissions goals. That relationship is appropriate since the Commission alone swears an oath of office to the citizens of New Mexico. The Commission may delegate its authority to the Superintendent, but cannot delegate its sworn responsibility. It may be desirable to establish the same type of relationship with the Chief of Staff. Currently, the Chief of Staff is responsible for managing all staff and agency functions that do not include the Insurance Division or the Hearing Examiners. Since the inception of the agency, the Chief of Staff, like a County Manager has depended on the support of the Commission to keep his/her job. As a result, the position has become inherently political and a tool for the will of Commission when it comes to hiring, firing and promotion. That must change. The Corporation Division, Pipeline Safety, Railroad, Transportation, and other Divisions each have their own Director. The role of Chief of Staff should be to oversee those divisions as a partner with the Commission. A specific job description should be created for the position defining responsibility, performance evaluation criteria and a period for performance review. Currently, most Commissioners maintain spotty attendance at PRC meetings and rarely work 40 hours a week. That work habit has extended to higher level employees as well. It may be necessary to institute the use of time clocks or computer check in to assure that New Mexico tax payers and the regulated entities that help fund the agency get the full value of the funds they contribute to the agency. Since it is uncertain if the Legislature will propose to amend the Constitution to set additional election requirements for candidates for the PRC including relevant experience or education, for example, it is then vital that the members of the Commission have the ability to gain appropriate help. Each Commissioner should have an attorney as an assistant to help them understand

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

367 complex filings and issues, to facilitate communication with regulated companies and to assist 368 them in the performance of their duties. 369 370 That help should come through the Office of General Counsel. Each attorney within the General Counsel Office could be assigned to a Commissioner. They would retain the work load they 371 currently hold, and as Commissioners become more familiar with the subject matter of regulation 372 373 the Commissioner/attorney team would become more effective. The organization of the agenda would become the responsibility of the General Counsel. Compliance with Open Meetings 374 requirements and the maintenance of the public records would remain the responsibility of the 375 Chief Clerk. 376 377 378 Such an arrangement would also promote collaboration among the Commissioners regarding specific complex cases and topic matter. It would provide the Commissioners with advice and 379 assistance to allow Commissioners the ability to conduct hearings themselves. Further, the 380 Commission could then control the docket, make assignments to Hearing Examiners as needed, 381 382 stay abreast of the progress of cases, and have greater ease in directing the Hearing Examiners regarding issues that the Commissioners want addressed during hearings and in pleadings. 383 384 385 The Commission must also establish some control over the Hearing Examiners. It makes no 386 sense to cede full authority to hear and manage cases without some performance criteria and 387 regular evaluation. Time limits should be set in order to move cases efficiently and in order to 388 cut the cost of litigation. The Commission must also establish a process to hear appeals that may arise during the pendency of a case. Participation by the Utility Division staff must be limited in 389 390 cases in which there are parties able to represent themselves. The staff should be required to 391 work more as partners with small regulated companies, assisting them in attaining compliance 392 rather than policing them when they fail. 393 394 The rules involving small utilities could also be amended to increase the ability of the utility to 395 represent itself in cases before the Commission. Again, if the Utility Division staff were to work to assist these utilities on the front end of rate filings, for example instead of working in 396 397 opposition to them it would save everyone time and money. It would also limit the need of small

398 utilities to enlist legal services to represent them. Those costs typically are included in utility 399 rates. Taking these steps would serve to limit unnecessary rate increases due to the cost of 400 regulation. 401 If the steps outlined herein were adopted, then large and small utilities could meet with the 402 Commission in advance of filing any case. Through discussion in advance of any filing, the 403 404 Commission and its staff could assist the utility in defining an acceptable methodology for adjusting rate requests, for example, and then could work on the process to assure that the utility 405 and the filing are in compliance with applicable rules. Such an approach would of necessity 406 limit the time involved in completing rate or service applications and thus reduce the cost of such 407 actions. It would also improve the involvement by the Commission in its work and bolster its 408 409 authority. The Commission could mandate that the utility gain more involvement by its customers, thus increasing public awareness of the particular need that the utility seeks to 410 411 address. 412 413 It is also necessary for the Commission to establish local offices within the Commission Districts outside of Santa Fe and Albuquerque. Specifically, local offices need to be established within 414 Districts 2, 4 and 5. This does not require much, but will ease the travel requirements for 415 Commissioners and facilitate local public participation. Virtually all of the pleadings, filings and 416 417 other documents are available to Commissioners via the internet. That access needs to be fully utilized. Instead of telephonic participation during meetings, the Commission should establish 418 419 SKYPE as the medium. It is free, readily available, requires no new computer hardware other than a small computer mounted camera and can allow real time video and audio. If SKYPE were 420 421 utilized, then every member of the body as well as the participants can see and hear the absent 422 Commissioner. It would also allow the public to hear and see the Commission during meetings 423 at very low cost. 424 The second reason to establish local offices is to dilute the political power that is vested in Santa 425

Fe itself. If the Commissioners seek to be effective in serving their constituents and in resolving

local utility, transportation and other issues then they need to spend more time in contact with

426

428 those constituents and companies. The Commission should work to make politics a secondary 429 role of the Commission and public service the first priority. 430 431 The Commission must also embrace the notion that it is their role to resolve consumer complaints. That is appropriate since it gives the voter the ability to determine if a 432 Commissioner is effective or not. Such a change would allow the agency to eliminate the jobs 433 434 within the Consumer Relations Division through a reduction in force action. That would reduce the overall budget and transfer the responsibility for handling consumer complaints to 435 Commissioners where it rightly belongs. 436 437 The Commission must also establish, develop and maintain contact with other state agencies that 438 hold complementary authority. The Office of the State Engineer and the New Mexico 439 Environment Department could be useful partners for collaboration on water and waste water 440 issues. The New Mexico DOT could certainly be a useful partner on transportation safety 441 matters. The Economic Development Department is in a good position to inform the 442 443 Commission regarding electricity issues as they affect industry in our State. That contact needs to be initiated with the Governor and developed jointly. Jobs and our economy are top issues 444 445 facing our State. Such collaboration could prove to be a great help in moving our economy forward. 446 447 In the past, Commissioners have participated in multi-state forums on electric and water issues. 448 449 While that is important in the development of broad regional policy, we must focus on New Mexico policy first. That priority has simply been lacking and cannot continue to be overlooked. 450 451 The NMPRC must engage the Legislature and the Governor in discussion to achieve meaningful policy goals. The Commission has the ability through its rule making initiative to serve as the 452 point for such efforts. The process is simple. It allows opportunity for stakeholders to 453 participate. It does not require legislation. It is much more flexible than the legislative process 454 455 and is not tied to any 30 or 60 day period. 456 Finally, the Commission must work with the entire agency to redefine its goals, purpose and 457 458 mission. The Commission must take up this work immediately and make this its top priority.

Once this goal is undertaken then the Commission can begin work on critical policy matters involving water, transportation and energy.

V. Purpose

New Mexico has been plagued with its reputation of being a pay to play state. Yet any political blog or other political commentary in any newspaper in our state routinely ranks and grades candidates for office based upon the amount of money they have raised for their campaigns. The more money raised the more credibility that candidate is afforded. If we only view political candidates as being driven by money, then why should anyone be surprised when political offices are driven by money?

We recognize that the simple fact of politics is that you must be elected first and promote change or an agenda later. We seek to make this campaign for the NMPRC a campaign of ideas. If we are to change the pay to play mentality, then we must make that change now. The PRC is an easy target for candidates to attack. It is easy to complain about corruption in New Mexico; it is much harder to develop a plan to change that. It is easy to talk about jobs and the economy. Again, it is much harder to actually accomplish constructive change to foster job and economic growth.

We also recognize that we have only one shot. We have only one shot at this office through this election, but New Mexico has only one shot at making the change that the people of our great state need. We fear that if we do not do this now, if we do not change this agency now, then it may never recover. We simply cannot afford to allow this situation to continue any longer. Our state economy, our wage earners, our regulated utilities and our families depend on us getting it right. We cannot gamble here. That is why we believe it is vital that we have a plan for reform and that the voters understand just what we propose to do to fix this vital state agency.

And so, the purpose of this plan is twofold. First, it represents a clear statement of just what we believe needs to be done to regain control of the PRC and reform the agency into a productive, working body. Second, it represents a clear statement of ideas and a clear statement of our

platform for productive change. We believe that voters deserve more than slogans and yard signs or websites in such difficult times as these in which we find ourselves.

While we have done our homework and have spent much time in the development of this plan, we need comment. We submit this plan as a good basis for reform but know that we will learn through discussion. With your help, we will continue to work on this plan so that when elected to the PRC, we will have a clear statement as the basis for a mandate for change. If that occurs, then New Mexico will become the beneficiary of the work, research and time that has been devoted to developing this plan. In that case, regardless of the outcome of the election, the efforts of the collaborators will have come to good.

VI. The Collaborators

Kent Evans is a Republican candidate for the NMPRC District 5 seat. Mr. Evans has previously served as a Dona Ana County Commissioner for two terms from 2001 through 2008. He currently serves on the Spaceport Authority. Kent's background for 38 years was in business management, human resources and public relations. He served in that capacity for NMSU Physical Science Laboratory, Lockheed, McDonnel Douglas, North American Rockwell and Brevard County, Florida Health Department. He has served on many public boards during the 35 years he has resided in Dona Ana County.

Tony Schaefer was elected to the NMPRC in 1998. He served through 2002 and as the Commission Chairman for one and a half years. During that service, he authored the NMPRC Electric Energy Plan, worked heavily on the Renewable Portfolio Standard for electric utilities, joined the other members of the Commission in hearings involving the Qwest Alternative Form of Regulation plan and numerous other cases. Mr. Schaefer represented the Western States in forums with the Federal Energy Commission regarding the deregulation of electricity.

The other collaborators include utility customers and executives, union members and employees, regulatory attorneys, lobbyists, shareholders, legislators and the hard working staff of the NMPRC who provided mentoring, counsel and comment toward the development of this plan.